IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:18-CT-3142-D

GREG H. JOHNSON,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER
D. BRAME, et al.,)	
	Defendants.)	

On June 15, 2018, Greg H. Johnson ("Johnson"), proceeding <u>pro se</u> and in forma pauperis, filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [D.E. 1, 2, 5]. On April 12, 2019, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") and recommended that the court dismiss the complaint [D.E. 11]. Johnson did not object to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R and the record. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 11].

In sum, the court ADOPTS the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 11] and DISMISSES the action for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). The clerk shall close the

case.

SO ORDERED. This <u>11</u> day of May 2019.

JAMES C. DEVER III

United States District Judge